Douglas System of Care has funding available!

System of Care Support and Success Funding

What is the System of Care Support and Success Funding?

It is funding to target programs supporting youth and families to achieve goals, celebrate success, and maintain progress. This funding is intended to be supplemental to existing program funding rather than the primary funding source.

Who can apply?

An existing program, project, provider, organization, company or initiative serving Douglas County youth and families. The focus is on programs that can use more resources to continue or expand successful initiatives. The hope is that this funding will assist in shifting to a strengths-based perspective of working with youth and families by supporting success, development, and growth.

How does it work?

* Complete and submit the attached SOC Success Funding Request including any accompanying documents.
* A confirmation of receipt will be sent. If one is not received, please re-submit or contact Douglas County SOC at DouglasSOC@umpquahealth.com to ensure it was received.
* All requests are reviewed and scored by the Douglas SOC Advisory Committee using the attached SOC Success Fund Scoring Matrix.
* Funding requests that align with the SOC values and principles are likely to score higher.
* The submitter will have the opportunity to present their request to the scoring committee. Presentations are optional and submitters will not be penalized for electing to forgo presenting their request.
* Requests may be partially funded as determined by SOC Funding Workgroup.
* The Douglas SOC may be able to identify or recommend alternate sources of support for a program.
* Requests will be reviewed quarterly; awards will continue until funds are exhausted for the year.
* A Douglas SOC representative will be in contact to discuss the request, the review process, and notify requestors of determination.

Outcomes/Reporting:

A post-funding report will be requested to evaluate the number of unique youth/families receiving support, as well as summarizing impact and improvement from funded projects. The impact summary deliverable timeline will be negotiated on a program by program basis.

**Name of Organization:**

**Name of Project/Program:**

**Program Manager/Submitter:**

**Name:**

**Title:**

**Email and Phone:**

**Total Funds Requested:**

**PROgram DESCRIPTION & Impact:** Please provide a brief narrative for the request: background of the program including planning and research, target population, number of individuals expected to be served by the program, potential impact, etc.

**SYSTEM OF CARE VALUES AND PRINCIPLES:** How does your program reflect the system of care values and principles below?

**Family-Driven and Youth-Guided:**

Family-driven- *(families have a primary decision-making role in the care of their own children as well as the policies and procedures for all children in their communities, states, tribes, territories, and nation):*

Youth-guided- *(young people have the right to be empowered, educated, and given a decision-making role in the care of their own lives as well as the policies and procedures governing the care of all youth in the community, state, and nation):*

**Home and Community-Based –** *(services and system management are located within a supportive, adaptive infrastructure of structures, processes, and relationships within the local community):*

**Culturally and Linguistically Responsive –** *(agencies, programs, and services reflect the*

*cultural, racial, ethnic, and linguistic differences of the populations they serve):*

**Strengths based and individualized** - *(youth should receive individualized services in accordance with their unique needs and potentials):*

**Coordinated across systems and services -** *(youth involved in multiple services should receive coordinated care delivered to support movement through systems and in accordance with their changing needs):*

**Connected to natural helping networks; and -** (*youth receive services and supports from the least restrictive options):*

**Data driven and outcome oriented -** (*youth outcomes are used to inform system decisions and changes):*

**PROGRAM IMPROVEMENT & EVALUATION (DATA AND OUTCOMES):** Please discuss the plan for documenting and evaluating the success of the request, including documentation of data, measurement of outcomes, and utilization of tools for evaluating success of goals and objectives.

**BUDGET/COST-EFFECTIVENESS:**

Budget: Please attach a budget that details how the money will be spent including but not limited to: where funds are going, prices, quantity of materials purchased, titles of specific materials and shipping.

Budget Narrative: Please include a budget narrative that further describes the basis of budget items as well as a description the cost effectiveness of the program.

**Attachment A**

**Funding Request Application Scoring Rubric**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **CRITERIA** | **3 points****Excellent – Exceeds Expectations** | **2 points****Adequate – Meets Expectations** | **1 point****Minimal – Partially Meets Expectations** | **0 points****Inadequate – Does Not Meet Expectations** |
| **Family-driven:** Families have a primary decision-making role in the care of their own children as well as the policies and procedures for all children in their communities, states, tribes, territories, and nation.**Youth-guided:** Young people have the right to be empowered, educated, and givena decision-making role in the care of their own lives as well as the policies and procedures governing the care of all youth in the community, state, and nation. |  |  |  |  |
| **Home and Community-Based:** Services and system management are located within a supportive, adaptive infrastructure of structures, processes, and relationships within the local community. |  |  |  |  |
| **Culturally and Linguistically Responsive**:Agencies, programs, and services reflect the cultural, racial, ethnic, and linguistic differences of the populations they serve. |  |  |  |  |
| **Strengths based and individualized:** Youth should receive individualized services in accordance with their unique needs and potentials. |  |  |  |  |
| **Coordinated across systems and services:** Youth involved in multipleservices should receive coordinated care delivered to support movement through systems and in accordance with their changing needs. |  |  |  |  |
| **Connected to natural helping networks; and:** Youth receive services and supports from the least restrictive options. |  |  |  |  |
| **Data driven and outcome oriented:** Youth outcomes are used to inform system decisions and changes. |  |  |  |  |
| **CRITERIA** | **Excellent Score****6 points** | **Good Score****4 points** | **Average Score****2 points** | **Poor Score****0 points** |
| **Program Description & Impact** | Program description is clear with strong evidence of careful planning, thought and research. Program exhibits potential to significantly impact youth and family. | Program description is general but it is still a good program/idea that can be understood. Program exhibits potential to moderately impact youth and family. | Program description needs clarity and further planning. Still, it is possible to understand what the program/idea is. Program exhibits potential to minimally impact youth and family. | Unclear program description or lacks planning and research. Program does not demonstrate impact on youth and family. |
| **Program Improvement & Evaluation (Data and Outcomes)**  | There is a detailed and clearly defined plan for documenting and evaluating the success of the grant. | There is a reasonably well-defined plan for documenting and evaluating the success of the grant. | The plan for documenting and evaluating the success of the grant is present but not extensive. | There are no plans for documenting and/or evaluating the success of the grant, or plans are limited or unclear. |
| **Budget & Cost-Effectiveness** | Budget outlines in detail how the money will be spent including where funds are going, prices, quantity of materials purchased, titles of specific materials and shipping. This grant seems like a good financial investment. Excellent benefit for cost. | Budget gives information about what is purchased, from where and how much, but lacks specifics. This grant seems like a solid financial investment. Very good benefit for cost. | Budget is defined only in general terms and is missing specific information. This grant seems like an acceptable financial investment. Average benefit for cost. | Budget is missing or does not align with the proposed program. This grant seems like a poor financial investment. Low benefit for cost. |

Total Score: /39

**Overall Rank/Recommendation for this grant:**

☐ Fully Fund
☐ Partially Fund
☐ Do Not Fund